

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/512,145	02/23/2000	Zhimin Zhou	06816/089003/CIT2510-C	3425	
7.	590 04/11/2003				
Scott C Harris			EXAMINER		
Fish & Richardson PC 4225 Executive Square Suite 1400			LUU, TH	LUU, THANH X	
La Jolla, CA	92037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	2878		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003		

Piease find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Av			
*	Application No.	pplicant(s)	AC			
	09/512,145	ZHOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
1	Thanh X Luu	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	unication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	<u>1arch 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-16 and 18-32</u> is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>2-16 and 18-32</u> are subject to restricti Application Papers	on and/or election requir	ement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Sta	age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			oplication).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has	peen received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/512,145

Art Unit: 2878

. . . \

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments and remarks filed March 11, 2002 (received March 21, 2003).

Claims 2-16 and 18-32 are currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I: a pixel array with programmable resolution (claims 2-16 and 18-20);

II: a pixel array with programmable resolution and pixel self-calibration (claims 21-30); and

III: a method of setting pixel size based on signal-to-noise ratio (claims 31 and 32).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 09/512,145

Art Unit: 2878

"all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Scott Harris on April 8, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta, can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

txl

April 8, 2003

Patent Examiner

Page 4